USDC SDNY	
DOCUMENT (A)	$(\epsilon \times \mathcal{I})$
ELECTRONICALLY FILED	·
DOC #:	
DATE FILED: 5-16-05	•
The second secon	<b>1</b>

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In Re TERRORIST ATTACKS on SEPTEMBER 11, 2001 03 MDL 1570 (RCC) ECF Case

This document relates to:

Continental Casualty Company, et al v. Al Queda Islamic Army, et al, 04-CV-5970 (RCC)

## STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS AND SETTING SCHEDULE FOR RABITA TRUST AND WAEL JELAIDAN TO RESPOND TO THE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between undersigned counsel for Plaintiffs and for Defendants, Rabita Trust and Wael Jelaidan, that

- 1. Rabita Trust and Wael Jelaidan shall have sixty (60) days from the date on which the Court decides Wael Jelaidan and Rabita Trust's pending motions to dismiss in Kathleen Ashton, et al. v. Al Queda Islamic Army, et al (02-CV-6977), Burnett, et al. v. Al Burneta Investment and Development Corporation, et al. (03 CV 9849) and Federal Insurance Company, et al. v. Al Quida, et al 903-CV-6978) to move to dismiss or answer the First Amended Complaint.
- Plaintiffs shall have sixty (60) days from the date on which it is served with Rabita Trust and
   Wael Jelaidan's motions to dismiss to serve its opposition to same or to respond, if required, to the answer.
- 3. Rabita Trust and Wael Jelaidan shall have thirty (30) days from the date on which Rabita Trust and Wael Jelaidan are served with Plaintiffs' oppositions motions to dismiss, or responses to answers, to reply to those oppositions.
- 4. The foregoing schedule is without waiver of any of Wael Jelaidan and Rabita Trust's defenses, except that Wael Jelaidan and Rabita Trust do not challenge the sufficiency of process or the sufficiency of Plaintiffs' service of process on Wael Jelaidan and Rabita Trust in this case. Rabita Trust and Wael Jelaidan hereby waive all affirmative defenses, objections and arguments relating to the sufficiency of process and the sufficiency of service of process during the course of this litigation.

Respectfully submitted,

MARTIN F. MCMAHON & ASSOCIATES

LAW OFFICES OF ROBSON, FERBER, FROST, CHAN & ESSNER

Martin F. McMahon, Esquire 1150 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20035 Phone: 202-862-4343 Fax: 202-862-4302

Dated: May 5, 2005

Counsel for Defendants Wael Jelaidan Rabita Trust

Dated: New York, New York

May 16.

Robert Manuel Kaplan, Esquire Rosson/Perber, Frost, Chan & Essner

530 Firth Avenue New York, New York 10036 Phone: (212) 944-2200 (212) 944-7630 Fax:

Dated: May 5, 2005

Counsel for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED:

Richard C. Casey U.S.D.J

42-21-20-65